

NFPA 105

Installation of Smoke-Control Door Assemblies

1993 Edition



NOTICE

All questions or other communications relating to this document should be sent only to NFPA Headquarters, addressed to the attention of the Committee responsible for the document.

For information on the procedures for requesting Technical Committees to issue Formal Interpretations, proposing Tentative Interim Amendments, proposing amendments for Committee consideration, and appeals on matters relating to the content of the document, write to the Secretary, Standards Council, National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, P.O. Box 9101, Quincy, MA 02269-9101.

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with Section 16 of the Regulations Governing Committee Projects shall not be considered the official position of NFPA or any of its Committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a Formal Interpretation.

Users of this document should consult applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations. NFPA does not, by the publication of this document, intend to urge action which is not in compliance with applicable laws and this document may not be construed as doing so.

Policy Adopted by NFPA Board of Directors on December 3, 1982

The Board of Directors reaffirms that the National Fire Protection Association recognizes that the toxicity of the products of combustion is an important factor in the loss of life from fire. NFPA has dealt with that subject in its technical committee documents for many years.

There is a concern that the growing use of synthetic materials may produce more or additional toxic products of combustion in a fire environment. The Board has, therefore, asked all NFPA technical committees to review the documents for which they are responsible to be sure that the documents respond to this current concern. To assist the committees in meeting this request, the Board has appointed an advisory committee to provide specific guidance to the technical committees on questions relating to assessing the hazards of the products of combustion.

Licensing Provision

This document is copyrighted by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). The terms and conditions set forth below do not extend to the index to this document. If public authorities and others reference this document in laws, ordinances, regulations and administrative orders or similar instruments, it should be with the understanding that this document is informative in nature and does not contain mandatory requirements. Any deletions, additions, and changes desired by the adopting authority must be noted separately. Those using this method ("adoption by reference") are requested to notify the NFPA (Attention: Secretary, Standards Council) in writing of such use.

The term "adoption by reference" means the citing of the title and publishing information only.

(For further explanation, see the Policy Concerning the Adoption, Printing and Publication of NFPA Documents which is available upon request from the NFPA.)

Statement on NFPA Procedures

This material has been developed under the published procedures of the National Fire Protection Association, which are designed to assure the appointment of technically competent Committees having balanced representation. While these procedures assure the highest degree of care, neither the National Fire Protection Association, its members, nor those participating in its activities accepts any liability resulting from compliance or noncompliance with the provisions given herein, for any restrictions imposed on materials or processes, or for the completeness of the text.

NFPA has no power or authority to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document and any certification of products stating compliance with requirements of this document is made at the peril of the certifier.

*Join over 63,000
professionals
like yourself.
Belong to NFPA!*

You can have impact on issues that affect the fire safety industry—How?

When you belong to NFPA you'll receive special membership benefits that help you make informed decisions and make your voice a stronger one in the fire safety community. Your benefits include:

1. **Voting privileges** on proposed changes to existing codes and standards, and on new codes and standards.
2. The *NFPA Journal*, *Fire News* newsletter, and *NFPA Journal Reference Directory & Buyers' Guide*—your source for fire statistics, reports, investigations, manufacturers, and codes and standards references.
3. **10% discount** on all products and services.
4. **Special invitations** to Annual, Fall, and Regional Meetings—where you can compare notes with your colleagues and take a position on issues that affect you. All these benefits—plus the pride and confidence that comes with membership in an internationally acclaimed organization can be yours for annual dues of \$95.00. Join today!

☐ **YES! Send me an application to join my colleagues at NFPA today!**

Name _____ Date _____

Address _____ Signature _____

City, State, Zip _____

Code PA1

*You can't beat
this value on
NFPA codes and
standards...*

Stay up-to-date on fire codes with this super, money-saving service!

In the dynamic world of fire protection, you need to keep up with current fire code requirements, recent changes, and new developments. The *National Fire Codes® Subscription Service* makes that an easier job! This complete service delivers every NFPA code and standard directly to you—over 280 essential codes in all! As a subscriber, you automatically receive new and revised documents from NFPA's Annual and Fall Meetings—as soon as they are published. Plus, additional mailings keep you informed of changes as they happen, so you are always working with the latest requirements.

☐ **YES! Start my subscription today! (Item No. 2H-NFCSS) \$600.00 (NFPA Members \$540.00*)**

Total amount enclosed \$ _____ NFPA Member No. _____

Name _____ ☐ I enclose a check (payable to NFPA).

Address _____ ☐ Please bill me.

City, State, Zip _____

For easy ordering, call toll-free
1-800-344-3555!
Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM-8:00 PM, ET

* Prices subject to change.

*Thank you
for your
purchases!*

NFPA listens to our customers. Please let us know what you think.

What types of products would you like to see more of?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ seminars | ☐ training packages |
| ☐ code handbooks | ☐ informational brochures |
| ☐ general reference books | ☐ electronic media |
| ☐ videos | ☐ other _____ |

In what subject area(s) would you like to see more products?

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ electrical | ☐ life safety |
| ☐ Fire Prevention Week | ☐ fire service |
| ☐ public education | ☐ hazardous materials |
| ☐ other _____ | |

How can NFPA better serve your needs?



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 3376 BOSTON, MA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION
1 BATTERYMARCH PARK
PO BOX 9101
QUINCY MA 02269-9904



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 3376 BOSTON, MA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION
1 BATTERYMARCH PARK
PO BOX 9101
QUINCY MA 02269-9904



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 3376 BOSTON, MA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION
1 BATTERYMARCH PARK
PO BOX 9101
QUINCY MA 02269-9904
Attn: Product Development



Copyright © 1993 NFPA, All Rights Reserved

NFPA 105
Recommended Practice for the
Installation of Smoke-Control Door Assemblies
1993 Edition

This edition of NFPA 105, *Recommended Practice for the Installation of Smoke-Control Door Assemblies*, was prepared by the Technical Committee on Fire Doors and Windows, released by the Correlating Committee on Building Construction, and acted on by the National Fire Protection Association, Inc. at its Annual Meeting held May 24-27, 1993, in Orlando, FL. It was issued by the Standards Council on July 23, 1993, with an effective date of August 20, 1993, and supersedes all previous editions.

The 1993 edition of this document has been approved by the American National Standards Institute.

Changes other than editorial are indicated by a vertical rule in the margin of the pages on which they appear. These lines are included as an aid to the user in identifying changes from the previous edition.

Origin and Development of NFPA 105

This recommended practice is the result of a multiyear project by the Technical Committee on Fire Doors and Windows and is based on the acknowledgment that smoke is the principal killer in destructive fires. Historically, fire doors have been permitted to have such clearances and deflections as would permit the passage of relatively great quantities of smoke. Those fire doors, when properly installed, have proven to be adequate barriers against the passage of fire, but improvement is needed to protect against the passage of smoke. This recommended practice was prepared to introduce parameters for door performance that will limit smoke spread through a door opening.

This 1993 edition is the third and replaces the 1989 edition. It makes use of new information recognizing that smoke-control doors in buildings protected by automatic sprinklers will have substantially lower pressures created by a potential fire.

Technical Committee on Fire Doors and Windows

John G. Degenkolb, Chair
Carson City, NV

Michael W. Argo, Contra Costa Cnty Fire Protection District, CA
Walter S. Beattie, CIGNA Loss Control Services, PA
 Rep. American Insurance Services Group, Inc.
Donald W. Belles, Donald W. Belles & Assoc. Inc., TN
 Rep. Flat Glass Marketing Assoc.
Robert L. Broderick, IRM Insurance, OK
Robert A. Bullard, Bullard Assoc., Inc., MA
 Rep. Door & Hardware Inst.
Warde P. Comeaux, Schirmer Engineering Corp., CA
Richard Cookson, The Cookson Co., AZ
 Rep. American Rolling Door Inst.
Edward A. Donoghue, Edward A. Donoghue Assoc., Inc., NY
 Rep. Nat'l Elevator Industry, Inc.
Simon Fridlyand, Underwriters Laboratories of Canada, Ontario
Joe C. Goldman, Kemper Group, CA
 Rep. The Alliance of American Insurers
Richard A. Hudnut, Builders Hardware Mfrs. Assn., NY
Thomas R. Janicak, Ceco Door Products, IL
 Rep. Steel Door Inst.

William A. Kennedy, Palm Beach Cnty Fire/Rescue, FL
 Rep. Fire Marshals Assn. of North America
Donald L. King, Steelcraft Mfg. Co., OH
 Rep. Insulated Steel Door Systems Inst.
Bernard E. Kinsock, Tucson Medical Center, AZ
William E. Koffel, Koffel Assoc., Inc., MD
Robert D. Lichfield, Westinghouse Hanford, WA
George E. Meyer, Warnock Hersey Int'l, Inc., CA
David G. Mosby, Impell Corp., TX
Edwin N. Naslund, Wood Door Consultant, CA
 Rep. Nat'l Wood Window & Door Assn.
William T. "Bill" Pacchetti, W. T. "Bill" Pacchetti Assoc., CA
Ronald Rispoli, Arkansas Power & Light Co., AR
Joseph N. Saino, F. L. Saino Mfg. Co., TN
William F. Shield, Factory Mutual Research Corp., MA
Richard P. Thornberry, The Code Consortium Inc., CA
Craig Timmerman, EMCO Millwork Inc., MI
 Rep. Architectural Woodwork Inst.
James J. Urban, Underwriters Laboratories Inc., IL
Ronald C. Walling, R & R Walling Assoc., GA

Alternates

Calvin A. Banning, Impell Corp., TX
 (Alt. to D. G. Mosby)
David M. Birk, Koffel Assoc., Inc., MD
 (Alt. to W. E. Koffel)
Rodney G. Clarke, Baptist Medical Center - Princeton, AL
 (Alt. to B. E. Kinsock)
Richard J. Davis, Factory Mutual Research Corp., MA
 (Alt. to W. F. Shield)
Stan Horsfall, Curries Co., IA
 (Alt. to T. R. Janicak)
James J. Husom, Warnock Hersey Int'l Inc., WI
 (Alt. to G. E. Meyer)
Michael L. Jorgenson, Underwriters Laboratories Inc., IL
 (Alt. to J. J. Urban)

Joseph G. Lesniak, Door & Hardware Inst., VA
 (Alt. to R. A. Bullard)
John A. McCann, Kemper Nat'l Insurance Co., MA
 (Alt. to J. C. Goldman)
Reginald A. Penney, Palm Beach Cnty Fire/Rescue, FL
 (Alt. to W. A. Kennedy)
Charles E. Randall, Schindler Elevator Corp., PA
 (Alt. to E. A. Donoghue)
 (Voting Limited to Elevator Issues)
Isaac Siskind, IRM Insurance, NC
 (Alt. to R. L. Broderick)
Douglas R. Wroblewski, Fenestra Corp., PA
 (Alt. to D. L. King)

L. Charles Smeby, NFPA Staff Liaison

This list represents the membership at the time the Committee was balloted on the text of this edition. Since that time, changes in the membership may have occurred.

NOTE: Membership on a Committee shall not in and of itself constitute an endorsement of the Association or any document developed by the Committee on which the member serves.

Committee Scope: Installation and maintenance of fire doors, windows, shutters, and other equipment to restrict the spread of fire, including arrangements for automatic operation in case of fire. Includes installations to protect buildings against external fire, and to restrict spread of fire within buildings. Vault and record room doors are covered by the Committee on Record Protection.

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	105- 4	Chapter 3 Recommended Test	105- 6
1-1 Scope	105- 4	3-1 Air Leakage	105- 6
1-2 Purpose	105- 4	3-2 Performance Criteria	105- 6
1-3 General	105- 4	3-3 Gasketing	105- 6
Chapter 2 Guidelines	105- 5	Chapter 4 Referenced Publications	105- 7
2-1 Fire Door Assemblies Used as Smoke-Control Doors	105- 5	Appendix A Explanatory Material	105- 7
2-2 Nonfire Door Assemblies Used as Smoke-Control Doors	105- 5	Appendix B Referenced Publications	105- 8
		Index	105- 9

NFPA 105

Recommended Practice for the Installation of Smoke-Control Door Assemblies

1993 Edition

NOTICE: An asterisk (*) following the number or letter designating a paragraph indicates explanatory material on that paragraph in Appendix A.

Information on referenced publications can be found in Chapter 4 and Appendix B.

Chapter 1 Introduction

1-1 Scope.

1-1.1 This recommended practice covers the use of door assemblies in openings where the passage of smoke is to be governed. These door assemblies are hereafter referred to as smoke-control door assemblies. Any specific known factors affecting any installations may require more stringent application of the recommendations in this recommended practice.

1-1.2 This recommended practice is primarily concerned with the effect of smoke on visibility. It does not contain an assessment of toxicity. While the use of smoke-control doors will be helpful in reducing the flow of airborne gases, it is not to be assumed that using this recommended practice obviates the concern over toxic combustion products.

1-2* Purpose. This recommended practice is intended to assist in the treatment of the problems associated with controlling the flow of smoke and gases through door openings in buildings.

1-3 General.

1-3.1 NFPA 101®, Life Safety Code®, and building codes include specific requirements for smoke-control door assemblies and should be consulted in every instance. NFPA 80, Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows, should be followed when fire door assemblies are used as smoke-control doors.

1-3.2 Consideration should be given to the leakage characteristics of adjacent wall, ceiling, and floor assemblies. It is generally considered to be of marginal benefit to install smoke-control doors in locations where adjacent walls, ceilings, or floors do not effectively resist the passage of smoke. (For additional information see the ASHRAE publication by Klotz and Fothergill, *Design of Smoke Control Systems for Buildings*.)

1-3.3* When protecting against smoke migration into spaces of large volume, a reasonably tight-fitting door may be considered adequate because of the relatively long time it would take for such a space to become untenable due to smoke. Conversely, the average 8-ft (2.4-m) high by 4-ft to 6-ft (1.2- to 1.8-m) wide corridor, however, can become untenable in less than two minutes as shown in a test conducted in California entitled "Operation School Burning," where the fire room door was open.

1-3.4 Depending on construction and on which side of the door the elevated temperature exists, the door may bow away from the stops at certain points. If the gasket is mounted such that it cannot move with the door, some gaps may occur between the door and the gaskets. Also, if the gaskets are on the outside of the door where the elevated temperature smoke occurs, there may be some detrimental effects to the gaskets, depending on the temperature and the length of time exposed. However, tests indicate that many gaskets, if properly installed and maintained, do a good job of reducing the smoke infiltration to a sufficient level to provide protection against smoke infiltration through the door assembly. In a fire condition, there would normally be a room of fire origin, and temperatures would be high in this area. Immediately outside the room of origin there may be warm smoke.

1-3.5 NFPA 252, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Door Assemblies*, permits a 1³/₄-in. (44-mm) thick door to deflect up to 2⁵/₈ in. (67 mm). This is unacceptable for smoke infiltration protection. Special recommendations are needed, therefore, for smoke-control doors used in locations where fire exposure and hot smoke are expected.

NFPA 252, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Door Assemblies*, does not provide for measurements of leakage through fire door assemblies under the standard fire exposure conditions. Furthermore, door deflection may occur at elevated temperatures, depending on the door construction. It should be noted that fire doors are commonly tested under neutral or even negative pressure, whereas in typical fires, positive pressures exist over the upper one-half or two-thirds of the door. In view of the deflections permitted in NFPA 252, under fire exposure conditions, fire doors may allow considerable leakage unless special designs involving seals are used.

1-3.6* Smoke Temperature.

1-3.6.1 Depending on the function of the door, its location in relation to the fire, and the movement of hot gases and air, door assemblies may be exposed to ambient or elevated smoke temperatures. For the purposes of this recommended practice, three temperature exposures are considered:

1-3.6.2 Ambient Smoke Temperature. The temperature at the exposed face of the door is assumed to be at or near 75°F (24°C).

1-3.6.3 Warm Smoke Temperature. The temperature at the exposed face of the door is assumed to be at or near 400°F (204°C).

1-3.6.4 Hot Smoke Temperature. The temperature at the exposed face of the door is assumed to be in excess of 400°F (204°C).

1-3.7* Exposure Pressure. Pressure differences of at least 0.04 in. wg (10 Pa) are developed in the upper parts of rooms that are involved in fire. Considerably higher pressure differences may exist in rooms, corridors, and stair enclosures due to the action of air-handling systems, stack effect, and wind. For the purposes of this recommended practice, pressures up to 0.30 in. wg (75 Pa) are considered.

In sprinklered buildings where the fire will be controlled, it is anticipated that the maximum pressure differential generated should not exceed 0.05 in. wg (12.5 Pa).

1-3.8* Smoke management systems both affect and are affected by smoke-control doors. Pressurized stair enclosures, for example, are more easily engineered when leakage through the stair doors is reduced. In other areas, pressurization may inhibit smoke flow so that reasonably tight-fitting doors unrated for smoke protection may be entirely appropriate.

1-3.9 Smoke-control doors should be used with the entire system taken into account. The amount of leakage tolerable will vary according to the degree of compartmentation, whether smoke management systems are used, and whether the building is protected by sprinklers.

1-3.10 The required duration of smoke protection can be equated with the path of egress. Evacuation typically starts in a room, progresses through a corridor, perhaps passes through a smoke barrier or horizontal exit, and proceeds through an entrance to the exit, which may be a stair enclosure, exit passageway, or the exit discharge. As with fire door assemblies, the longest time of protection is generally required at the entrance to an exit enclosure or horizontal exit with shorter durations appropriate for preceding doors.

This should also be the case with smoke-control doors. This is compatible with the protect-in-place concept as occupants are expected to be moved from one compartment to another for protection or, in some cases, protected in rooms other than the room of fire origin.

Occupancies not typical of this scenario include atria, malls, open office plans, and industrial occupancies. Areas of this sort may be adequately protected by reasonably tight-fitting doors without specific smoke-control door ratings because of the large volume of space involved.

1-3.11 Criteria for rating smoke-control doors reflect several areas of compliance. Included are amount of door deflection, limitation of leakage at various temperatures, protection related to specific volumes of space, and duration of protection. Practicality, however, dictates against so many variables as to make each assembly different from another. It is likely then that smoke-control door assemblies for ambient and warm smoke temperature protection will be rated on the basis of a simple air infiltration test with a requirement for some sort of on-site verification that materials used are of the same construction as those tested and the installation is appropriate.

While not covered in this recommended practice, a rating for hot smoke protection should be in connection with a fire test and under label service with an in-plant follow-up inspection service. At this time, a nationally recognized standard test for measuring hot smoke temperature leakage does not exist.

1-3.12* Complete sealing of doors is not always desirable. A disadvantage of complete sealing is the difficulty to open or close doors because of pressure differential. Some smoke management designs call for some areas to be pressurized. A small pressure acting across the full area of a door may exert sufficient force to make opening a door difficult. A seal must be first broken to equalize the pressure on both sides of the door before the door can be opened easily.

1-3.13 Twenty-minute smoke-control door assemblies do not require the hose stream portion of the test called for in NFPA 252, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Door Assemblies*. Some 20-minute fire door assemblies have been tested with the hose stream portion of the test. For the purposes of this document, either type of assembly is appropriate for use under Section 2-1.

Chapter 2 Guidelines

2-1 Fire Door Assemblies Used as Smoke-Control Doors.

2-1.1 The installation of fire door assemblies is covered by NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*.

2-1.2 The addition of gasketing materials is also covered by NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*.

2-1.3 If protection against leakage at elevated temperature is desired, a suitable sealing system or gasketing should be provided that will allow the door to meet the performance criteria in Section 3-2.

2-1.4* Gasketing, if used, should not inhibit the closing and positive latching of the door. Satisfactory closing and latching of the door should be verified after any gasketing has been installed.

2-1.5 For pairs of fire doors used for smoke control, double egress doors (leaves swinging in opposite directions) are recommended with the use of either overlapping astragals or other tested methods that do not hinder free use of either leaf. Double egress doors do not hinder the free use of either leaf and a satisfactory seal is provided.

2-1.6 Pairs of fire doors swinging in the same direction should be provided with split or compensating astragals adjusted so that closing and positive latching is not inhibited. Gasketing may also be used if the doors have been so tested. Use of a center mullion is another alternative, provided the required units of exit width in the opening are maintained.

2-1.7 If automatic-closing fire doors are used in lieu of self-closing fire doors, the release device should be smoke actuated. Delay on closing after actuation should not exceed 10 seconds. Where appropriate, interconnect with other fire alarm, suppression, and detection systems.

2-1.8 Because louvers are normally subject to leakage, they should not be used. (See NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*, subsection 1-3.2.)

2-2 Nonfire Door Assemblies Used as Smoke-Control Doors.

2-2.1 Doors used should be substantial and may include glazing.

2-2.2 Frames used should be smoke resistant (see also 1-3.2) and of sufficient strength to support an operating door.

2-2.3 Nonfire doors should only be used for controlling ambient and warm smoke. Nonfire doors used for controlling warm smoke should not be equipped with materials that would adversely affect the performance of the smoke-control door at temperatures less than 400°F (204°C).

2-2.4* Doors should be self-closing or automatic-closing upon smoke detection.

Exception: It is recognized that some codes call for the use of 20-minute fire doors or their equivalent and waive the requirement for a door closer. These doors are still desired even though a label cannot be provided because of the omission of a required fire door assembly component. These doors are usually in room-to-corridor locations where protection against leakage at elevated temperature may be desired.

2-2.5 Doors should be hinged in accordance with NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*.

Exception: Double-acting doors may be used if they meet the performance criteria of Section 3-2.

2-2.6 Latches should be provided unless the anticipated pressures are such that the performance criteria (see Section 3-2) of the door assembly can be achieved without latching.

2-2.7 Gasketing, if used, should be of a type covered in NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*, and should not inhibit the closing and positive latching of the door. Satisfactory closing and latching of the door should be verified after any gasketing has been installed.

2-2.8 Pairs of doors should be installed in accordance with the recommendations in subsections 2-1.6 or 2-1.7.

2-2.9 Because louvers are normally subject to leakage, they should not be used. (See NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*, subsection 1-3.2.)

2-2.10 Operating transoms should not be used. Fixed solid transom panels are satisfactory.

2-2.11 Glazing should be sealed in place to minimize leakage. If glazing is used for doors described in the exception to subsection 2-2.4, it should be labeled fire protection rated glazing material and be no larger than that tested in the door.

Chapter 3 Recommended Test

3-1 Air Leakage.

3-1.1 To determine leakage rates of a smoke-control door assembly that may be exposed to ambient or warm smoke temperatures, each side of the door assembly should be tested in accordance with Underwriters Laboratories Inc. Standard 1784.

3-1.2* Depending on the type and functional use of the door assembly, an additional test should be conducted with an artificial seal applied at the bottom edge. Artificial sealing of the gap (or undercut), e.g., with an impermeable sheet or tape, provides information on the extent of air leakage at the bottom gap and provides a better measure of anticipated leakage for doors given that they will be exposed to positive pressure in the upper part and to negative pressure in the lower part of a door. (See Table 3-2.1.)

3-1.3 The sequence of testing should follow this order:

Temperature	Pressure Differential
Ambient (75°F/24°C)	0.05 in. wg/1.25 Pa
Ambient (75°F/24°C)	0.10 in. wg/25 Pa
Ambient (75°F/24°C)	0.20 in. wg/50 Pa
Ambient (75°F/24°C)	0.30 in. wg/75 Pa
Warm (400°F/204°C)	0.05 in. wg/12.5 Pa
Warm (400°F/204°C)	0.10 in. wg/25 Pa
Warm (400°F/204°C)	0.20 in. wg/50 Pa
Warm (400°F/204°C)	0.30 in. wg/75 Pa

For the warm temperature measurement, the chamber air temperature should be increased so that it reaches 350°F (177°C) within 15 minutes. When stabilized at the prescribed air temperature [400 ± 20°F (204 ± 11°C)], the leakage rate should be measured at the four pressure differentials in sequence during a period not to exceed 30 minutes.

Exception:* Where representative test data exist to verify that ambient temperature results in higher leakage rates, additional tests for warm temperature measurement need not be conducted.

3-2 Performance Criteria.

3-2.1 To provide reasonable levels of performance for the door application indicated, air leakage rates should not exceed the values provided in Table 3-2.1 per sq ft of door opening.

Table 3-2.1 Allowable Air Leakage

Door Installation	Pressure Difference ¹ (in. wg)	Temperature	Maximum Leakage (scfm/sqft door opening)
Room to corridor ²	0.1	Warm	1.5
Room to corridor (pressurized)	0.05	Warm	1.5
Area of refuge	0.2	Warm	2
Elevator lobby	0.1	Ambient	3
Elevator-pressurized hoistway	0.1	Warm	6
Elevator (not pressurized) w/o lobby separation	0.1	Warm	3
Cross corridor ²	0.05	Warm	1
Stair enclosure	0.1	Ambient	3
Stair enclosure (pressurized)	0.3	Ambient	11
Horizontal exit	0.05	Warm	1

¹ In fully sprinklered buildings, the pressure difference shall be considered to be 0.05.

² Tested with artificial bottom seal. However, in an actual installation, the bottom seal that was provided in the test may be omitted due to the neutral pressure plane being located in a fire condition approximately one-third of the way up from the bottom of the door.

For SI Units: 1 in. wg = 250 Pa, 1 scfm/sqft = 0.3 m³/min/m².

3-2.2 When an engineering evaluation is performed and the volume of space to be protected is known, the values in Table 3-2.1 for smoke control may be modified to restrict smoke leakage in terms of a specified smoke tenability level.

3-3 Gasketing. Gasketing or seals used as part of smoke-control door assemblies should be classified and listed by an independent testing laboratory. Evaluations should

indicate that the material investigated does not adversely affect the performance of fire doors. It should be helpful if such materials could also be evaluated according to temperature resistance. Lacking such evaluations, the manufacturer should be requested to indicate maximum temperatures under which its gasket material is effective. Resiliency, durability, and cycling should be considerations.

Chapter 4 Referenced Publications

4-1 The following documents or portions thereof are referenced within this recommended practice and should be considered part of the recommendations of this document. The edition indicated for each reference is current as of the date of the NFPA issuance of this document.

4-1.1 NFPA Publications. National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, P.O. Box 9101, Quincy, MA 02269-9101.

NFPA 80, *Standard for Fire Doors and Fire Windows*, 1992 edition.

NFPA 101, *Life Safety Code*, 1991 edition.

NFPA 252, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Door Assemblies*, 1990 edition.

4-1.2 Other Publications.

UL Standard 1784-90, *Air Leakage Tests of Door Assemblies*, April 1988, Underwriters Laboratories Inc., 333 Pfingsten Rd., Northbrook, IL 60062.

Klote, John, et al., *Design of Smoke Control Systems for Buildings*, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 1791 Tullie Circle, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30329.

Los Angeles Fire Department, "Operation School Burning," National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 1959.

Appendix A Explanatory Material

This Appendix is not a part of the recommendations of this NFPA document, but is included for information purposes only.

A-1-2 The Committee hopes this recommended practice will be of assistance to authorities having jurisdiction and designers of building smoke management systems when smoke-control door assemblies are used as part of the system.

A-1-3.3 For the purposes of this recommended practice, smoke can be considered to be airborne particulates and gases resulting from combustion. Therefore, to understand smoke movement it is only necessary to understand air movement. Hot smoke, however, will be buoyant and will be located above the neutral plane in the fire compartment. As it moves away from the fire source, it will cool, lose its buoyancy, and become less stratified. Beyond the immediate influence of the fire, smoke will behave just as warm or cool air would behave. It will be driven by pressure differentials within the building or will follow air currents created by the HVAC system in the building. Pressure differentials may be the result of: fire pressure build-

up, which would only drive the smoke out of the compartment or area of origin; stack effect due to temperature differentials between the interior and exterior of the building; wind; or mechanically created pressures using HVAC systems, exhaust fans, supply (pressurization) fans, vents, etc. Therefore, to control smoke movement, a designer needs to control air movement. Leakage rates for smoke-control door assemblies can be established for different pressure differentials. Quantity of air movement through a door assembly can be determined and performance criteria established for the specific application.

Based on measurements reported in "Operation School Burning" and by McGuire et al., it has been estimated that a tenable or tolerable smoke concentration limit corresponds to an optical density per meter within the range of 0.04 to 0.08. Since the maximum density of smoke generated in the fire area is considered to lie in the range of 4 to 8 optical density per meter, a tenable smoke atmosphere is sometimes assumed to correspond to 1 percent of the atmosphere in the immediate fire area.

A-1-3.6 Smoke-control doors used in locations likely to be in close proximity to a fire may be exposed to elevated temperatures. This includes doors separating rooms and corridors, and doors serving as smoke barriers or horizontal exits. Such doors, whether rated as fire doors or not, should restrict the passage of smoke that may be heated to a temperature of 400°F (204°C). In a fully sprinklered building, protection against elevated temperature smoke may not be necessary, and the criteria for protection against ambient temperature smoke may be appropriate.

Mention should be made of the effects of automatic sprinkler protection on smoke. The activation of an automatic sprinkler occurs early in a flaming fire condition, usually within 5 minutes or so after visible flaming is observed. Temperatures immediately drop to almost ambient, and smoke is driven to the floor and diffused throughout the available space. Smoke production rate is reduced as the fire size decreases and the temperature of the flame plume is reduced. The temperature of the smoke is also reduced to near ambient. Thus, in a sprinklered building it may be appropriate to treat smoke as if it were at or near ambient temperature. Fewer mitigating measures may be taken to control smoke movement since the production rate of smoke will be reduced. However, under a smoldering fire condition, sprinkler activation can be delayed and this, too, should be considered.

Fire door assemblies protecting stair enclosures and vestibules adjacent to stair enclosures, for example, are more likely to be exposed to ambient temperature smoke provided there are no combustible materials in the enclosure. These doors may form part of a control system involving pressurized stairwells or vestibules. The air leakage characteristics of such door assemblies are an essential part of smoke control design.

A-1-3.7 It has been determined from many full-scale fire tests of compartments that the maximum instantaneous pressure difference created by an uncontrolled fire may approach 0.15 in. wg (37.5 Pa). More typically, a pressure difference of 0.06 to 0.10 in. wg (15 to 25 Pa) is achieved over the period of most intense burning in such light fire loading occupancies as residential, health care, and business (offices).

In sprinklered buildings where the fire will be controlled, it is anticipated that the maximum pressure differential generated should not exceed 0.05 in. wg (12.5 Pa).

Typical stair pressurization systems may often result in pressure differentials as high as 0.25 to 0.50 in. wg (62.5 to 125 Pa) across the door assembly.

Stack effect may also play a major role in determining pressure that must be overcome in order to pressurize shafts such as elevators and stairs to prevent smoke infiltration. Pressure differences between the exterior and unvented shafts can range from virtually nothing to as much as 0.5 to 1.0 in. wg (125 to 250 Pa) or more, depending on the location of the building neutral pressure plane, the height of the building, and the outside temperature.

The quantity of air movement through a door gap can be determined by the general formula:

$$Q = KAP^{1/N}$$

where Q is the volume flow rate of air, K is the orifice coefficient for the gap around the door perimeter, A is the area of the gap, P is the pressure differential across the door, and N is a number between 1 and 2 that can be determined empirically. (See *ASHRAE Handbook and Product Directory — 1985 Fundamentals*.)

A-1-3.8 Many factors must be taken into consideration before smoke management systems can be developed. Fire load, smoke load, rate of heat release, rate of smoke release, geometry, height of building, ambient environmental conditions, HVAC systems, exhaust systems, compartmentation, occupancy type, occupant status, means of egress, volume of spaces, and fire alarm detection system are just some of the factors that must be considered before a designer can develop a total system approach to the smoke problem. A smoke-control door assembly is only one component of a total smoke control and management system. A smoke management system can either be active or passive, or a combination of both. Active systems are dynamic and generally use mechanical systems in conjunction with automatic activating devices (e.g., a smoke exhaust system). Passive systems use built-in-place barriers (e.g., a smoke-retardant barrier) that do not rely on mechanical systems to function. Both types of systems may be either automatically or manually activated, or a combination of both.

A-1-3.12 Door opening force is addressed in various standards on ingress for mobility-impaired people. Ease of egress is equally important. A designer of a smoke management system should be aware of the importance of door opening force, and should consider pressure reducing measures, such as using vestibules and equalizing pressures through the use of multiple ducts.

A-2-1.4 If gasketing or other sealing system is used and protection against hot smoke is intended, noncombustible

gasketing or a suitable sealing system that will not break down under hot smoke conditions for a 20-minute period should be considered.

A-2-2.4 In such situations, it is suggested that the authority having jurisdiction require regular fire drills or staff training sessions where manual closing of the door is a high priority portion of the drill or training session.

A-3-1.2 Temperature has a direct effect on pressure. When protecting against warm or hot smoke infiltration, this test method in itself may not be completely appropriate, but it provides a uniform and repeatable test method. It also provides a standard evaluation of an assembly for a pressurized application.

Concepts and proposed test methods have been developed and should be considered for measuring smoke leakage during exposure in the standard fire resistance test. One such draft, developed at the National Bureau of Standards' Center for Fire Research, "The Measurement of Smoke Leakage of Door Assemblies During Standard Fire Test Exposures," should be reviewed.

A-3-1.3 Exception. Test data exists for certain door types demonstrating that air leakage at ambient temperatures is greater than warm air temperature leakage. In such instances the air leakage rate for ambient could also apply for warm temperatures when additional tests are not conducted at elevated temperatures.

Appendix B Referenced Publications

B-1 The following documents or portions thereof are referenced within this recommended practice for informational purposes only and thus are not considered part of the recommendations of this document. The edition indicated for each reference is the current edition as of the date of the NFPA issuance of this document.

ASHRAE Handbook and Product Directory — 1985 Fundamentals, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 1791 Tullie Circle, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30329.

Cooper, L.Y., "The Measurement of Smoke Leakage of Door Assemblies During Standard Fire Test Exposures," NBSIR 80-2004, Center for Fire Research, National Bureau of Standards, Vol. 5, No. 4, p. 135, 1981.

Los Angeles Fire Department, "Operation School Burning," NFPA, 1959.

McGuire, J.H., Tamura, G.T., and Wilson, F.T., "Factors in Controlling Smoke in High Buildings," Technical Paper No. 341, June 1971, National Research Council of Canada, Division of Building Research.

Index

©1993 National Fire Protection Association, All Rights Reserved.

The copyright in this index is separate and distinct from the copyright in the document which it indexes. The licensing provisions set forth for the document are not applicable to this index. This index may not be reproduced in whole or in part by any means without the express written permission of the National Fire Protection Association, Inc.

- A-**
 - Active smoke management systems** A-1-3.8
 - Air**
 - Calculations for movement through door gap A-1-3.7
 - Leakage rates 3-1 to 3-2
 - Ambient smoke temperature** 1-3.6.2
 - Leakage measurement A-1-3.4
 - Nonfire door use for 2-2.3
 - Pressure differential 3-1.3
 - Artificial bottom seal** 3-1.2, Table 3-2.1
 - Astragals** 2-1.5, 2-1.6
 - Atria** 1-3.10
 - Automatic-closing fire doors** 2-1.7, 2-2.4
 - Automatic sprinkler protection** A-1-3.6
- C-**
 - Compartmentation** 1-3.9
- D-**
 - Door opening force** 1-3.12, A-1-3.12
 - Double acting doors** 2-2.5
 - Double egress doors** 2-1.5
- E-**
 - Evacuation patterns** 1-3.10
 - Exposure pressure** 1-3.7, A-1-3.7
- F-**
 - Fire door assemblies**
 - Stair enclosures and A-1-3.6
 - Used as smoke-control door assemblies 2-1
 - Fire drills for manual doors** A-2-2.4
- G-**
 - Gasketing**
 - Fire door assemblies ... 1-3.4, 2-1.2 to 2-1.4, 2-1.6, 3-3, A-2-1.4
 - Nonfire door assemblies 2-2.7
 - Smoke-control door assemblies 1-3.12
 - Glazing, nonfire door assemblies** 2-2.11
- H-**
 - Hinging, nonfire doors** 2-2.5
 - Hot smoke temperature** 1-3.6.4, 1-3.11
- I-**
 - Industrial occupancies** 1-3.10
- L-**
 - Latches** 2-2.6
 - Leakage rates**
 - Adjacent structures and 1-3.2
 - Allowable** 3-2, A-1-3.3
 - Testing** 1-3.5, 3-1 to 3-2, A-3-1.2
 - Louvers** 2-1.8, 2-2.9
- M-**
 - Malls** 1-3.10
 - Manual closing of fire doors** A-2-2.4
 - Migration (of smoke)** 1-3.3
- N-**
 - Nonfire door assemblies as smoke-control fire door assemblies** 2-2
- O-**
 - “Operation School Burning” (CA)** 1-3.3, A-1-3.3
- P-**
 - Pairs of doors** 2-2.8
 - Passive smoke management systems** A-1-3.8
 - Performance criteria** 3-2
 - Pressure differential**
 - Causes of 1-3.7, A-1-3.3
 - Measurement of A-1-3.7
 - Testing 3-1.3
 - Protect-in-place** 1-3.10
 - Purpose of standard** 1-2, A-1-2
- R-**
 - Referenced publications** Chap. 4, B-1
- S-**
 - Scope of standard** 1-1
 - Sealing** *see* Gasketing
 - Sealing smoke-control door assemblies** 1-3.12, 3-1.2
 - Self-closing doors** 2-1.7, 2-2.4
 - Smoke**
 - Definition A-1-3.3
 - Movement of A-1-3.3
 - Properties of A-1-3.3
 - Temperature, types of 1-3.6
 - Smoke-control door assemblies**
 - Fire door assemblies used as 2-1
 - Location 1-3.2
 - Nonfire door assemblies used as 2-2
 - Rating criteria 1-3.11
 - Sprinkler systems and A-1-3.6
 - Testing 1-3.13
 - Smoke management system design** 1-3.8, 1-3.12, A-1-3.8, A-1-3.12
 - Smoke migration** 1-3.3
 - Sprinklered buildings**
 - Pressure differential A-1-3.7, Table 3-2.1
 - Sprinklered buildings, pressure differential in** 1-3.7

Sprinklers, automatic	A-1-3.6
Stack effect	1-3.7, A-1-3.7
Stair enclosures	A-1-3.6, A-1-3.7

-T-

Temperature	<i>see also</i> Warm smoke temperature
Pressure and	A-3-1.2
Of smoke	1-3.6, A-1-3.4
Testing	
Gasketing	3-3
Leakage rates	1-3.5, 3-1 to 3-2
"Operation School Burning" (CA)	1-3.3, A-1-3.3

Pressure differential	3-1.3
Sealing	3-1.2
Standard fire resistance test	A-3-1.2
Transoms	2-2.9, 2-2.10
Twenty-minute fire door assemblies	2-2.4
Twenty-minute smoke-control door assemblies	1-3.13

-W-

Warm smoke temperature	1-3.6.3
Leakage measurement	A-1-3.4
Nonfire door use for	2-2.3
Pressure differential	3-1.3

**SUBMITTING PROPOSALS ON
NFPA TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DOCUMENTS**

**Contact NFPA Standards Administration for final date for receipt of proposals
on a specific document.**

INSTRUCTIONS

Please use the forms which follow for submitting proposed amendments.
Use a separate form for each proposal.

1. For each document on which you are proposing amendment indicate:
 - (a) The number and title of the document
 - (b) The specific section or paragraph.
2. Check the box indicating whether or not this proposal recommends new text, revised text, or to delete text.
3. In the space identified as "Proposal" include the wording you propose as new or revised text, or indicate if you wish to delete text.
4. In the space titled "Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Proposal" state the problem which will be resolved by your recommendation and give the specific reason for your proposal including copies of tests, research papers, fire experience, etc. If a statement is more than 200 words in length, the technical committee is authorized to abstract it for the Technical Committee Report.
5. Check the box indicating whether or not this proposal is original material, and if it is not, indicate source.
6. If supplementary material (photographs, diagrams, reports, etc.) is included, you may be required to submit sufficient copies for all members and alternates of the technical committee.

NOTE: The NFPA Regulations Governing Committee Projects in Paragraph 10-10 state: Each proposal shall be submitted to the Council Secretary and shall include:

- (a) identification of the submitter and his affiliation (Committee, organization, company) where appropriate; and
- (b) identification of the document, paragraph of the document to which the proposal is directed, and
- (c) a statement of the problem and substantiation for the proposal, and
- (d) proposed text of proposal, including the wording to be added, revised (and how revised), or deleted

FORM FOR PROPOSALS ON NFPA TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DOCUMENTS

**Mail to: Secretary, Standards Council
National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, Massachusetts 02269-9101
Fax No. 617-770-3500**

Note: All proposals must be received by 5:00 p.m. E.S.T./E.D.S.T. on the published proposal closing date.

If you need further information on the standards-making process, please contact the
Standards Administration Department at 617-984-7249.

Date 9/18/93 Name John B. Smith Tel. No. 617-555-1212

Company _____

Street Address 9 Seattle St., Seattle, WA 02255

Please Indicate Organization Represented (if any) Fire Marshals Assn. of North America

1. a) Document Title National Fire Alarm Code NFPA No. & Year NFPA 72, 1993 ed.

b) Section/Paragraph 1-5.8.1 (Exception No. 1)

2. Proposal recommends: (Check one) ☐ new text
☐ revised text
☒ deleted text

3. Proposal (include proposed new or revised wording, or identification of wording to be deleted):
Delete exception

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Log # _____

Date Rec'd _____

Proposal #: _____

4. Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Proposal:

A properly installed and maintained system should be free of ground faults. The occurrence of one or more ground faults should be required to cause a "trouble" signal because it indicates a condition that could contribute to future malfunction of the system. Ground fault protection has been widely available on these systems for years and its cost is negligible. Requiring it on all systems will promote better installations, maintenance and reliability.

5. ☒ This Proposal is original material.
☐ This Proposal is not original material; its source (if known) is as follows: _____

(Note: Original material is considered to be the submitter's own idea based on or as a result of his/her own experience, thought, or research and, to the best of his/her knowledge, is not copied from another source.)

I hereby grant NFPA the non-exclusive, royalty-free rights, including non-exclusive, royalty-free rights in copyright, in this proposal and I understand that I acquire no rights in any publication of NFPA in which this proposal in this or another similar analogous form is used.

John B. Smith
Signature (Required)

PLEASE USE SEPARATE FORM FOR EACH PROPOSAL